



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
PO. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,627	03/23/2001	Peggy M. Stumer	2001P05288US	7108

7590 07/14/2003

Siemens Corporation  
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator  
Intellectual Property Department  
186 Wood Avenue South  
Iselin, NJ 08830

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2643

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/816,627</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Peggy M. Stumer et al.</b>		
	Examiner <b>Melur. Ramakrishnaiah</b>	Art Unit <b>2643</b>		
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>				
<b>Period for Reply</b>				
<p>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</p>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>				
<b>Status</b>				
<p>1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar 17, 2003</u></p>				
<p>2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL.      2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.</p>				
<p>3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</p>				
<b>Disposition of Claims</b>				
<p>4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.</p>				
<p>4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.</p>				
<p>5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.</p>				
<p>6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.</p>				
<p>7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-14</u> is/are objected to.</p>				
<p>8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</p>				
<b>Application Papers</b>				
<p>9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</p>				
<p>10) <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner.</p>				
<p style="margin-left: 20px;">Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</p>				
<p>11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner.</p>				
<p style="margin-left: 20px;">If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.</p>				
<p>12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</p>				
<b>Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120</b>				
<p>13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</p>				
<p>a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of:</p>				
<p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</p>				
<p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.</p>				
<p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</p>				
<p>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p>				
<p>14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</p>				
<p>a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</p>				
<p>15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</p>				
<b>Attachment(s)</b>				
<p>1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p>				
<p>4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____</p>				
<p>2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p>				
<p>5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</p>				
<p>3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>14</u></p>				
<p>6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>				

Art Unit: 2643

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-14 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Needham et al. (GB 2349774 A, Pub date: 11-8-2000, hereinafter Needham ) which is part of IDS supplied by the Applicant dated 3-17-2003. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1 and 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(a) as being anticipated by Needham et al. (GB 2349774 A, Pub date: 11-8-2000, hereinafter Needham ).

Regarding claims 1, Needham discloses a method for processing emergency call made from behind a PBX/MLTS coupled to a private network, the method comprising the steps of: within the PBX/MLTS (16, fig. 1) determining whether dialed digits represent an emergency number, and assigning priority within the PBX/MLTS to a call determined to be an emergency call, such that the call takes priority over other calls in traversing the private network (reads on PBX and associated ISDN trunks) before reaching a public network trunk (24, fig. 1, page 3 lines 17-33, page 4 lines 1-6, lines 27-32).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 8, Needham discloses an apparatus for processing an emergency call from behind the PBX/MLTS coupled to a private network, the apparatus comprising: means with in the PBX/MLTS for determining whether dialed digits represent an emergency number, and means (18, fig. 1) for assigning priority within the PBX/MLTS to a call determined to be an emergency call, such that the call takes priority over other calls on traversing the private network before reaching the public network (24, fig. 1, page 3 lines 17-33, page 4 lines 1-6, lines 27-32).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Needham in view of Tanaka et al. (US PAT: 6,243,442, hereinafter Tanaka) Hoskinson et al. (US PAT: 5,339,351, hereinafter Hoskinson).

Regarding claims 2-7, and 9-14, Needham does not teach the following: storing a port number for each device/trunk in the PBX/MLTS and determining from which port the emergency call originated and associating an emergency location identification number (ELIN) with each port equipment number, and transmitting to a public safety answering point the ELIN associated with the port from which the emergency call originated.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Tanaka teaches the following: storing a port number for each device/trunk in the PBX/MLTS and determining from which port the emergency call originated (col. 9 lines 63-67, col. 10 lines 1-30); Hoskinson discloses a emergency response system which teaches the following: associating an emergency location identification number (ELIN) with each port equipment number, and transmitting to a public safety answering point (reads on emergency response center 23 in fig. 1) the ELIN associated with the port from which the emergency call originated (col. 7 lines 18-20, fig. 3 col. 7 lines 56-68, col. 8 lines 1-4).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Needham system to provide for the following: storing a port number for each device/trunk in the PBX/MLTS and determining from which port the emergency call originated and associating an emergency location identification number (ELIN) with each port equipment number, and transmitting to a public safety answering point the ELIN associated with the port from which the emergency call originated as this arrangement would enable the operator at the emergency response center to dispatch necessary help to the emergency caller as is well known in the art.

6. Claims 4-7 and 11-14, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2643

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,  
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

*Melur. Ramakrishnaiah*  
Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643.